



WOMEN FOR THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION NEWSLETTER

SEPTEMBER 2022

VOLUME 3, #4



President CJ's Letter:

Dear Colleagues,

Last Saturday, September 17, was Constitution Day. For most Americans, September 17 comes and goes without fanfare. No fireworks, parades, or patriotic tributes. Those are celebrated for our national birthday and Declaration of Independence. And rightly so. However, without the Constitution, it's unlikely we would be celebrating the declaration in the 21st century. This is because the Constitution is the framework that holds it all together – seals the deal – assures liberty over tyranny.

The U.S. Constitution has survived for over 235 years – quite a long time. The average life span of a constitution since 1789 (when ours was ratified) is only 17 years, according to “The Lifespan of Written Constitutions” by authors T. Ginsburg, Z. Elkins, J. Melton. They quote an old joke: “A man goes into a library and asks for a copy of the French Constitution, only to be told the library doesn't stock periodicals.” So, for a constitution to last more than two centuries – through wars big and small, including a devastating Civil War, and through periods of great transformation and upheaval – is a remarkable achievement. In fact, the U.S. Constitution is the world's longest surviving written charter of government.

It is a single document that sets out the formal structure of government, specifying the powers and institutions of our central government and its relationship with other levels, as well as that of the states. In addition it expresses the rights of citizens and in doing so creates limits on government.

It has survived for so long largely for two reasons. It is incredibly difficult to change or amend, having been amended only 27 times. The framers of the Constitution feared radical change and wrote the Constitution in such a manner as to draw strength from its vagueness in key areas,

allowing for interpretation that has made it a flexible instrument adaptable to changing circumstances. The second reason for survivability is the structure of the federal government written into the Constitution. The separation of powers, with checks and balances, make it difficult for a single group to dominate over the other. And the Constitution has survived because it lacks depth, breadth, and length. It does not specify the minute details of the political structure; rather it ‘lays down general principles.’

Moreover, the Constitution has upheld the American values of democracy, capitalism, individualism, property, and work ethic. (James Madison, Father of the Constitution, stated that the “fundamental theory of political economy was the basis of the balance of powers.”) Finally and most importantly, the Constitution is a highly regarded symbol for Americans, enhanced by the fact that America has always been a particularly patriotic nation. The manner in which the Constitution has been viewed by Americans has protected its status and deterred politicians from attempting to tamper with it.

How can we make sense of our American history without a proper understanding of the Constitution? How will the Constitution endure for another 200 years if our children are not learning about the founding of our country? There are government agencies making decisions daily that affect our lives. How can we know if they are acting within the law – or pushing the limits, as those who are entrusted with power so often do – if the Constitution is unfamiliar to us?

I am reminded of a story. In 1787, as he was leaving Constitution Hall in Philadelphia at the end of the Convention, Ben Franklin was asked by a citizen, “Doctor, what have we got? A republic or a monarchy?” Franklin replied, “A republic, if you can keep it.”

It is ours to keep or destroy.

C. J. Hatcher, WAC President

INSIDE CONTENTS

WAC Members Enjoyed Ice Cream in

August!.....2

Rich Anderson, Chairman of the Republican Party of Virginia, Spoke at Our July Luncheon.....3

Early Voting Begins September 23, 2022 for the November 2022 Election.....4

The 'Great Reset' and the End of Free Speech, By Troy Anderson, Townhall.....4

A Socially Conscious but Politically Incorrect Company, By Megan Keller, Wall Street Journal.....5

Obama's Not a Socialist, By Thomas Sowell, OC Register.....6

Who Are You Calling Fascist, Mr. President? By David Harsanyi, Daily Signal.....7

Ron DeSantis: 'Corporatism Is Not the Same as Free Enterprise,' By Hannah Bleau, Breitbart.....8

Federal Government's Bungled Census Bad News for Red States. Here's Why., By Hans von Spankovsky, Daily Signal.....8

Points of Interest.....9

Board Members/Upcoming Events.....9

WAC Members Enjoyed Ice Cream in August!

On August 25, WAC members enjoyed an ice cream social at Kohr Brothers. It gave everyone a great opportunity to get out of the heat and socialize with like-minded friends and colleagues!



Rich Anderson, Chairman of the Republican Party of Virginia, Spoke at Our July Luncheon

On July 28, WAC members heard from the Chairman of the Republican Party of Virginia, Rich Anderson, who described actions the RPV is taking to elect, support, and guide Republican candidates up and down the ballot across Virginia this November, including finding great candidates, organizing coalitions and volunteers, supporting Committee and other interest group meetings, and get-out-the-vote efforts, among many other activities.





Early Voting Begins September 23, 2022 for the November 8, 2022 Election

Also: Poll Watcher Information Below

Voters in Albemarle County may choose to vote in one of three ways: vote by mail, vote early in-person at the 5th Street County Office Building (1600 5th Street, Room A, Charlottesville 22902), or vote in your polling place on Election Day from 6:00am to 7:00pm. The last day to request a ballot by mail is October 28, 2022. The last day to vote early in-person is Saturday, November 5, 2022.

Early voting begins Friday, September 23, 2022. Early voting hours are:

Monday, Wednesday, Friday: 8:30am-5:00pm
Tuesday: 7:00am-5:00pm
Thursday: 8:30am-7:00pm
Saturdays: Oct 29 and Nov 5, 8:30am to 5:00pm

For more details on early/absentee voting in Albemarle County, please go to:
<https://www.albemarle.org/government/elections/vote-absentee>

Poll watching is an essential part of ensuring election integrity during the Early Voting period for the upcoming election and on Election Day. If you are interested, please view a one-hour training video “Election Officers and Poll Watchers Training” found at the following link: <https://middlesresolutionpolicy.org/election-integrity-training-series/>. This is the only training required for this role. Once trained, you will receive an ACRC Chair letter signed by John Lowry. Please print it, fill in the details in the appropriate space, and present it when you arrive at the Registrar’s office. This letter is required in order for you to be authorized to serve! If you have to reschedule for any reason, please give as much notice as possible so there is time to fill your space. If you have any questions, please email Norman Moore at: mooreno1@fastmail.fm or Rosalie Moore at: rosaliesmoore@icloud.com. The person heading up poll watching efforts for Election Day is: Donna Gray who can be contacted at: 252-717-6891. Additional specialized training is required to be an **Election Officer**. If interested, the contacts above will be able to help with that as well.



The ‘Great Reset’ and the End of Free Speech

By Troy Anderson, Townhall,
condensed

During a recent meeting of the World Economic Forum in the ski resort town of Davos, Switzerland, Australian government’s eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant called for a “recalibration” of the right to freedom of speech worldwide.

“We are finding ourselves in a place where we have increasing polarization everywhere, and everything feels binary when it doesn’t need to be,” Inman Grant said. “So, I think we’re going to have to think about a recalibration of a whole range of human rights that are playing out online, from the freedom of speech to be[ing] free from online violence.”

A recalibration of a whole range of human rights? Wow, are we really listening and hearing what she is saying? This is the very message of the Nazi Party in the years leading up to World War II.

“When the Nazis came to power in 1933, the German constitution guaranteed freedom of speech and freedom of the press,” according to the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, D.C. “Through decrees and laws, the Nazis abolished these civil rights and destroyed German democracy. Starting in 1934, it was illegal to criticize the Nazi government. Even telling a joke about Hitler was considered treachery. People in Nazi Germany could not say or write whatever they wanted.

Today, many liberal American universities espouse the virtues of socialism, communism, and the new world order. With that, many of these institutions covertly and sometimes overtly suppress conservative thought and speech.

It is no secret that American universities, once bastions of freedom of speech, now stealthily censor many conservative speakers from coming on campus. In fact, if you are a conservative professor, you are in a very small group on today’s college campuses. Liberal professors outnumber conservatives by a 12-1 margin.

Suffice to say, the reason for much of the progressive, liberal, socialist, and communist thought stems from years of the universities pumping out graduates indoctrinated in Marxist and new world order doctrines.

Most conservative students and professors feel enormous pressure to keep silent so that they can lead productive lives. Many conservatives have confided that if they speak out their views, often they are at best laughed at and at worst bullied.

As the United States fills up with thought police and leftist propaganda machines such as the mainstream media, more and more of the conservative right are being stymied and silenced into submission.

It just may be that the left really supports the World Economic Forum propaganda about recalibrating human rights because their agenda supports this agenda. After all, if they can silence the right, they win.

What they don't understand in history is that those who first introduce this kind of tactic are usually the first ones eliminated in a regime change.

When Joseph Stalin gained power in Russia, he executed hundreds of thousands of those who helped him. All told, Stalin was responsible for killing tens of millions of his own people.

During The Great Purge, Stalin sought to rid the Communist Party of some of his biggest detractors and rivals. Hundreds of thousands of people were initially arrested by his secret police. Many were executed or sent to gulags. Over a third of the Communist Party died during the purge, including many party bosses, military leaders, and high government officials.

We need to be vitally aware of all that is being planned for us in the new world order or what the World Economic Forum now calls the "Great Reset."

Those who are planning do not have our best interests in mind. Let us not fall into deception and complacency.

It's time for the silent majority to be silent no longer.



A Socially Conscious but Politically Incorrect Company

**By Megan Keller, Wall Street
Journal, condensed**

You might call Black Rifle Coffee Co. a socially conscious enterprise. "This is a veterans' corporation," founder and CEO Evan Hafer, a former Green Beret, says in a Zoom interview. More than half of Black Rifle's employees have served in the military or are family of veterans. In 2021 the

company put \$5.3 million in shares toward starting the BRCC Fund, a charity dedicated to helping wounded or traumatized veterans and their families. That was on top of \$1.2 million in charitable contributions and \$3 million worth of coffee and related products to active-duty military and first responders.

But Mr. Hafer says Black Rifle struggled to find banks and law firms to help it arrange an initial public offering. Since he founded the company in 2014, companies have told him that it was "too irreverent" and poses "reputational risk."

Black Rifle isn't everyone's cup of tea. Its blends include AK-47 and Silencer Smooth, and its social media presence is colorful. The company's YouTube channel features a shooting contest that ends with Mr. Hafer trying to get a bull's-eye after taking a direct shot of bear spray to the eyes and a video titled "Could You Be a Pregnant Man?" Mr. Hafer's personal politics have also drawn outrage from the media—he voted for Donald Trump twice—as has the company's popularity with some controversial figures on the right. Kyle Rittenhouse was photographed wearing a Black Rifle T-shirt. But none of this seems to have hurt the company's revenue, which reached \$233.1 million last year.

Mr. Hafer thinks the numbers should be evidence enough that Black Rifle's reputation isn't a material risk. But his company "started hitting a lot of resistance" from high-level finance companies and law firms, although they claimed they were interested in working with veteran-run corporations.

In 2019 and 2020, a Black Rifle spokeswoman says, company leaders were talking to Chase, Bank of America and Macquarie Group about raising capital. After initially showing interest, all three companies declined to work with Black Rifle, citing the company's image. In 2018 Black Rifle had tried to open an account at a Chase branch in San Antonio and had been turned away over reputational concerns. The spokeswoman says that Macquarie was particularly fixated on the name of its in-house magazine, *Coffee or Die*, which covers military issues and won the Military Reporters & Editors Association's 2022 journalism contest for overseas coverage.

Bank of America and Chase declined to comment. Macquarie said in an email: "We take into account a broad range of factors in making financing and investment decisions. We do not comment on confidential commercially sensitive discussions, including those that did not move beyond a very preliminary stage like this one."

Black Rifle hit similar roadblocks in 2019 and 2020 with Skadden Arps, Latham & Watkins and Simpson Thacher & Bartlett. All three law firms passed on working with the

coffee company because of its image. According to the Black Rifle spokeswoman, Latham & Watkins said that its reputational risk committee thought no one from top law schools would be willing to work at the firm if it took on Black Rifle as a client, especially because its name included the word “rifle.” The name “is an homage to the service rifle,” Mr. Hafer says. Like the guns he taught special-operations soldiers to shoot, he says, coffee is “lifesaving equipment.”

Simpson Thacher declined to comment. Skadden Arps and Latham & Watkins didn’t respond to requests for comment.

Despite these obstacles, Black Rifle is thriving. The company went public in February through a special-purpose acquisition merger with SilverBox Engaged Merger Corp and this summer rolled out marketing partnerships with the Dallas Cowboys and Amazon Prime Video.

Yet Mr. Hafer worries what the seemingly arbitrary treatment he experienced will mean for other veterans. “I think it’s going to be really important for those guys—men and women both—to understand, these are the types of doors that are going to be slammed in your face if you’re not conforming to a very specific narrative,” he says. “I don’t want them to go through some of the same issues that we’ve had to go through to get access to capital.”



Obama’s Not a Socialist

(Editor’s note: I recently read this article from 2012 and thought it succinctly summed up some of what is happening regarding the Left’s approach to the economy today.)

By Thomas Sowell, OC Register, 2012

It bothers me a little when conservatives call Barack Obama a “socialist.” He certainly is an enemy of the free market, and wants politicians and bureaucrats to make the fundamental decisions about the economy. But that does not mean that he wants government ownership of the means of production, which has long been a standard definition of socialism.

What President Obama has been pushing for, and moving toward, is more insidious: government control of the economy, while leaving ownership in private hands. That way, politicians get to call the shots but, when their bright ideas lead to disaster, they can always blame those who own businesses in the private sector.

Politically, it is heads-I-win when things go right, and tails-you-lose when things go wrong. This is far preferable, from Obama’s point of view, since it gives him a variety of

scapegoats for all his failed policies, without having to use President Bush as a scapegoat all the time.

Government ownership of the means of production means that politicians also own the consequences of their policies, and have to face responsibility when those consequences are disastrous – something that Barack Obama avoids like the plague.

Thus, the Obama administration can arbitrarily force insurance companies to cover the children of their customers until the children are 26 years old. Obviously, this creates favorable publicity for President Obama. But if this and other government edicts cause insurance premiums to rise, then that is something that can be blamed on the “greed” of the insurance companies.

The same principle, or lack of principle, applies to many other privately owned businesses. It is a very successful political play that can be adapted to all sorts of situations.

One of the reasons why both pro-Obama and anti-Obama observers may be reluctant to see him as fascist is that both tend to accept the prevailing notion that fascism is on the political right, while it is obvious that Obama is on the political left.

Back in the 1920s, however, when fascism was a new political development, it was widely – and correctly – regarded as being on the political left. Jonah Goldberg’s great book “Liberal Fascism” cites overwhelming evidence of the fascists’ consistent pursuit of the goals of the Left, and of the Left’s embrace of the fascists as one of their own during the 1920s.

Mussolini, the originator of fascism, was lionized by the Left, both in Europe and in America, during the 1920s. Even Hitler, who adopted fascist ideas in the 1920s, was seen by some, including W.E.B. Du Bois, as a man of the Left.

It was in the 1930s, when ugly internal and international actions by Hitler and Mussolini repelled the world, that the Left distanced themselves from fascism and its Nazi offshoot – and verbally transferred these totalitarian dictatorships to the right, saddling their opponents with these pariahs.

What socialism, fascism and other ideologies of the Left have in common is an assumption that some very wise people – like themselves – need to take decisions out of the hands of lesser people, like the rest of us, and impose those decisions by government fiat.

The Left’s vision is not only a vision of the world, but also a vision of themselves, as superior beings pursuing superior ends. In the United States, however, this vision conflicts with a Constitution that begins, “We the People...”

That is why the Left has for more than a century been trying to get the Constitution's limitations on government loosened or evaded by judges' new interpretations, based on notions of "a living Constitution" that will take decisions out of the hands of "We the People," and transfer those decisions to our betters.

The self-flattery of the vision of the Left also gives its true believers a huge ego stake in that vision, which means that mere facts are unlikely to make them reconsider, regardless of what evidence piles up against the vision of the Left, and regardless of its disastrous consequences.

Only our own awareness of the huge stakes involved can save us from the rampaging presumptions of our betters, whether they are called socialists or fascists. So long as we buy their heady rhetoric, we are selling our birthright of freedom.



Who Are You Calling Fascist, Mr. President?

By David Harsanyi, Daily Signal

The other day, President Joe Biden accused voters of the opposition party of turning to "semi-fascism." This is probably the first time in American history a president has openly attacked the opposing party's constituents in this way.

Then again, Biden, who once alleged that the chaste Mitt Romney was harboring a desire to bring back chattel slavery, is prone to stupid hyperbole. And it's true that most people who throw around the word "fascist" fail to do so with much precision. These days, the word "democracy," like "fascism," has lost all meaning. According to Democrats, asking someone to show ID before voting is an attack on "democracy," but so is the Supreme Court's handing back power to voters on the abortion issue. When you have no limiting principles of governance, anything that inhibits your exertion of power is seen as anti-"democracy." If students have loans to be paid, "forgive" them. If you can't pass a bill, the executive branch should do it by fiat. If the court stops it, pack it. Power is only to be limited when the opposition holds it.

A microcosm of this confused thinking can be found in the recent spate of hysterical media pieces about alleged Republican "book banning." The use of "ban" by the media is more than a category error; it's an effort to paint parents who use the very same exact democratic powers the left has relied on for decades as book burners. Public school curricula and book selection are political questions decided by school and library boards. Neither have a duty to carry every single volume on racial identitarianism or sexually

explicit material simply demanded by some busybody at the American Library Association.

Henry Olsen of the Ethics and Public Policy Center notes that fascists "believed that multiparty democracy weakened the nation, and that competitive capitalism was wasteful and exploitative. Their alternative was a one-party state that guided the economy through regulation and sector-based accords between labor and business."

Well, is it not the left that champions government intervention in the economy, with never-ending regulations, subsidies and mandates that effectively allow for controlling the means of production? Leftists — some incrementally, some less so — are the proponents of nationalizing the health care system, the energy sector and education. Again, if progressives have any limiting principles when it comes to intervention in our economic lives, I'd love to hear about them.

Are the most vociferous defenders of "democracy" not the ones who sound suspiciously like they want a one-party state? Modern Democrats have stopped debating policy or accepting the legitimacy of anyone who stands in their way. They will pass massive, generational reforms using parliamentary tricks, without any input from the minority. And they don't merely champion their work as beneficial; they claim these bills are needed for the survival of "democracy" and "civilization" — nay, the survival of the planet. Anyone who opposes saving Mother Earth is surely an authoritarian. There is nothing to debate. The villainization of political opponents isn't new, but we are breaking new ground.

Some may find it a bit fascist-y that the FBI feels free to instruct giant rent-seeking corporations to censor news to help elect their preferred candidate, as it did with Facebook. Or that the White House is in the business of "flagging" "problematic posts" and threatening corporations to "root out" "misleading" speech or be held accountable. One wouldn't be off base seeing a "Disinformation Governance Board" that sifts through speech the administration dislikes or a Justice Department that treats those protesting authoritarian school boards as "domestic terrorists" as "semi-fascist."

It is curious, as well, that the same people who control basically all major institutions in American life — academia, media, unions, Silicon Valley, Wall Street, trade associations, public schools, publishing, the entire D.C. bureaucracy, Hollywood, Madison Avenue, not to mention the presidency and Congress — claim to be victims of budding authoritarianism. The only major institution free of progressives' grip right now is the Supreme Court. And the left is engaged in a systematic effort to delegitimize the Court for doing its job and limiting the state's power.

None of this is to say that the right is innocent. I often find myself debating the populist right on issues ranging from the free markets and the role of the state. Abuses of the Constitution should be called out no matter who engages in them. However, progressivism's crusade to destroy separation of powers, its attacks on religious freedom and free speech, its undermining of civil society, its binding of the economy to the state and its fostering of perpetual dependency and victimhood are far bigger long-term threats to the republic than Trumpism — and far closer to the definition of “semi-fascism” than the Republican agenda.



Ron DeSantis: ‘Corporatism Is Not the Same as Free Enterprise’

By Hannah Bleau, Breitbart, condensed

Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis (R) ... said the mentality held by some Republicans needs to change when it comes to corporations, reminding the GOP that “corporatism is not the same as free enterprise.”

Speaking at the National Conservatism conference in Miami, the Florida governor beat back commonly-held beliefs, casting his vision for how Republicans should view big business and the economy in modern America.

“The lesson for people on the right is, I think there was a generation of people, that kind of the muscle memory was just if it's private, just defer to it. If it's a corporate and let them do kind of what they want to do,” he said.

“Because, you know, look, we don't want to micromanage different things in the economy. I'm not I'm not a central planner. I certainly don't want to I don't want to be doing that, but corporatism is not the same as free enterprise,” he continued, triggering applause.

“And I think too many Republicans have used limited government to basically mean whatever is best for corporate America is how we want to do the economy,” he said, explaining that he personally believes that free enterprise is the best economic system.

“But that is a means to an end. It's a means to having a good fulfilling life and a prosperous society. It's not an end in and of itself, but we need to make sure that we have that firmly in mind,” he warned.

“The United States is a nation that has an economy, not the other way around, and our economy should be geared towards helping our own people,” the governor added:

This is far from the first time DeSantis has spoken on this issue, tackling the issue of woke corporations in the Sunshine State over the last year.

“Americans are sick of some of these companies and their stupid activism and they wanted somebody to stand up, they wanted somebody to stand up and say, no, we're not gonna let you distort our political process here in the state of Florida,” DeSantis said during the Moms for Liberty Joyful Warriors National Summit in Tampa in July, explaining that the left uses corporate America to push through radical agenda items that fail at the ballot box. His administration has tried to push back, including with the passage of the Stop WOKE Act, although it has faced legal challenges.

“This is an important issue because it raises the question of, you know, who governs society?” he asked during a press conference later that month. “Do we govern ourselves through our Constitution and through our elections or do we have these masters of the universe occupying these commanding heights of society?”



Federal Government's Bungled Census - Bad News for Red States. Here's Why.

**By Hans von Spankovsky, Daily
Signal, condensed**

If a politician from Florida decides to run for president in 2024, his (or her) home state will be short two votes in the Electoral College, and when the new session of the U.S. House of Representatives convenes in January 2023, Florida will be missing two congressional seats to which it is entitled.

Why? Because according to a post-2020 census survey, the U.S. Census Bureau significantly undercounted the population of Florida, as well as Arkansas, Illinois, Mississippi, Tennessee and Texas. At the same time, it overcounted the population of eight states, all but one of which is a blue state.

The 2020 errors were discovered when the Census Bureau interviewed a large number of households across the country and compared the answers it got to the original census responses in 2020. In addition to undercounting six states, the survey showed that the Bureau overcounted the population of Delaware, Hawaii, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Rhode Island and Utah.

Funny coincidence—the Census made its largest overcount percentage error in President Joe Biden's tiny home state of

Delaware, which was overcounted by 5.45%. But Rhode Island and Minnesota were also overcounted by 5.05% and 3.84%, respectively, which allowed each of them to keep a congressional seat to which they are not entitled.

Minnesota, according to the original census report, would have lost a congressional seat during reapportionment if it had 26 fewer residents; the survey shows the state was overcounted by 216,971 individuals. Similarly, Rhode Island would have lost a seat if the Census Bureau had counted 19,000 fewer residents. It turns out that the state was overcounted by more than 55,000 individuals.

So both states will continue to have more representation in Congress, and more votes in the Electoral College, than they should. The same is true of Colorado, which was awarded a new congressional seat that it should not have gotten.

Contrast that with Texas, which the Census Bureau survey says was undercounted by almost 2%. That represents over a half a million Texans, which means that, like Florida, Texas was cheated out of an additional member of Congress. At that time, the Census Bureau said that Texas needed only 189,000 more people to gain another congressional seat. Turns out Texas already had them.

Arkansas had the largest percentage undercount at 5.04%, which represented over 150,000 residents of the state.

These errors by the Census Bureau also mean that the overcounted states will be receiving a larger share of the over \$1.5 trillion in federal funds that are distributed to the states over the next decade based on their states' populations. And the undercounted states? They will be getting less funding than they should.

There is no remedy in the federal statutes governing the census and apportionment to correct this problem. The scope of this problem was unusually high, and the Census Bureau has not offered any explanation as to how this happened.

By way of comparison, the survey the Census Bureau conducted after the 2010 census showed a statistically insignificant error rate of only 0.01%, which means the Bureau only missed counting 36,000 Americans. Quite a startling difference from the 2020 census.

Even if the states most affected could win a case in court, how would you come up with a remedy? Ordering the Census Bureau to conduct another actual recount in the 14 affected states would be a complex, expensive undertaking that would provide numbers on a different date than the original census whose population totals from April 1, 2020, would still be in effect for the rest of the states, raising

fundamental fairness issues given the high mobility of our population. And ordering a new census of the entire nation also seems impractical.

But there is no question Congress needs to get to the bottom of what happened. It must use its oversight authority to investigate the Census Bureau, its methods, procedures and operations to determine how and why these errors happened. We have to ensure that the necessary changes are made that won't allow this to happen again.

Points of Interest

- Can the FBI be trusted? A Federalist analysis of FBI corruption and lies over the past ten years is an unequivocal no.
<https://thefederalist.com/2022/08/19/think-the-fbi-deserves-the-benefit-of-the-doubt-this-laundry-list-of-corruption-should-make-you-think-again/>
- Democrats calling Republicans fascists is nothing new. The Washington Examiner explores times when Democrats have attempted to tarnish Republicans with that term for nearly 60 years.
<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/democrats-like-biden-have-been-falsely-calling-republicans-fascists-for-almost-60-years>

Board Members

President C.J. Hatcher:

cj@cjhatcher.net

Vice President Tamara Thies:

tamaramct@yahoo.com

Secretary Donni Long:

g_long@comcast.net

Treasurer Madeleine Chandler:

mbc4z@earthlink.net

Bylaws Chair Tanya Skeen:

tanyaskeen@yahoo.com

Membership Chair Rena Snow:

renasnow@hotmail.com

Fundraising Chair Christy Lloyd:

Christy_n_lloyd@comcast.net

Upcoming Events/Announcements

- **September Luncheon** – Please join us for lunch at the Greencroft Club on Thursday, September 22, 2022. Our speaker will be Delegate Nick Freitas.
- **October Luncheon** – Mark your calendars for our October luncheon on Thursday, October 27 at the Greencroft Club when we will be hearing from Free Market Warrior and author Loren Spivak. Registration begins at 11:30am.